Is HOT a Cool Treatment for Type 1 Diabetes?
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Type 1 diabetes (T1D) is an organ-specific autoimmune disease characterized by immune-mediated destruction of the insulin-producing β-cells of the islets of Langerhans that results in life-long insulin dependence (1). Given the immunological nature of the disease, numerous antigen-specific as well as nonantigen-specific tolerance induction or immune deviation strategies have been developed as treatments for T1D. Although successful in experimental models, results of studies to translate these strategies to humans have been discouraging (2–5). This has prompted researchers to explore safer, broader, and more effective immunotherapeutic approaches to prevent, treat, and/or revert T1D. In this issue of Diabetes, Faleo et al. (6) show compelling data regarding the use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy in autoimmune diabetes using nonobese diabetic (NOD) mice. This model spontaneously develops T1D, a feature that closely mimics human disease. Faleo et al. (6) show that hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HOT) significantly protects from T1D when initiated early in the disease course, but not after its onset, suggesting that this approach could be useful in high-risk individuals.

According to the Undersea and Hyperbaric Medical Society, HOT is a process wherein patients breathe 100% oxygen (atmospheric air contains ~21% oxygen) while inside a treatment chamber at a pressure higher than at sea level (usually 2.5 times greater pressure). In 1662, Henshaw, a British clergyman, first used pressurized atmospheric air to treat certain ailments. Since that time, HOT has been used to treat various conditions such as decompression sickness, arterial gas embolism, and carbon monoxide poisoning (with or without cyanide poisoning), and to facilitate wound healing (7). It should be noted that inhaling 100% oxygen at normal atmospheric pressure of exposing isolated parts of the body to 100% oxygen does not constitute HOT.

Faleo et al. (6) exposed prediabetic NOD mice to pressurized 100% oxygen (HOT-100%), 21% oxygen (HOT-21%), or oxygen-depleted air supplemented with pure oxygen (HOT-12%) for 60 minutes every day for varying periods of time. T1D risk was assessed using different models. In the cyclophosphamide-accelerated model, more than 75% of control, HOT-12%, and HOT-21% mice developed hyperglycemia, compared with less than 50% of HOT-100% mice. The severity of insulitis was also reduced in the HOT-100% group. Even though HOT was not administered on the day of cyclophosphamide therapy, the possibility that HOT could have influenced the metabolism of cyclophosphamide (8), and thereby indirectly influenced the incidence of accelerated hyperglycemia, was not addressed by the authors.

In the spontaneous model, when HOT was initiated at 4 weeks of age, 85% of untreated control NOD mice developed hyperglycemia 35 weeks compared with 65% of mice in the HOT-100% group. Although this finding was statistically significant, a considerable percentage—65%—of this inbred, homozygous mouse strain still developed hyperglycemia with HOT-100%, an observation that raises questions about the likely effectiveness of HOT among more heterogeneous human T1D populations. Data from a key experiment—the effect of HOT-100% on the incidence of hyperglycemia when administered close to onset of diabetes in NOD mice (13 weeks of age)—were not reported. In this group, HOT-100% attenuated insulitis and significantly delayed the onset of hyperglycemia in mice administered along with glucagon-like peptide (GLP)-1 analog and exenatide (EXN) delivered by mini-osmotic pumps. Inclusion of a group receiving HOT-100% and vehicle or a scrambled peptide, instead of EXN, would have strengthened the inference that HOT-100% is more effective when combined with EXN. Overall, the findings in this report suggest that only prolonged exposure to HOT-100% that is initiated at a very young age reduced T1D risk in this mouse model.

The mechanism by which HOT provided its beneficial effects in T1D or even in other models of inflammation are not fully understood. HOT can suppress inflammation by modulating the expression of integrins (9) and possibly other adhesion molecules. This would interfere with homing of inflammatory cells to islets, thereby reducing insulitis. In support of this hypothesis, HOT-100%-treated mice had reduced insulitis. Furthermore, expression of CD62L, a lymphocyte homing marker, was altered in the spontaneous, but not cyclophosphamide-accelerated, T1D model.

HOT could modulate inflammation through hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1 (10). Hypoxia increases HIF expression, whereas hyperoxia represses HIF. HIF-1 can induce interleukin (IL)-17 and inhibit Foxp3+ regulatory T-cell (Treg) development (11). Therefore, by reducing the expression of HIF-1 (12,13), HOT could inhibit the Th17 pathway and promote Treg development, thereby protecting from T1D. Although HIF was not investigated in this report, increased Treg in HOT-100% mice supports this theory. However, it remains to be elucidated whether HOT-100% mediates its effects directly through increasing Treg numbers and functions and/or through suppressing IL-17. HIF is also induced rapidly in transplanted islets and promotes islet apoptosis (14,15). Therefore, inhibition of HIF by HOT may promote β-cell survival and play a beneficial role in diabetes. Reduced apoptosis and increased proliferation of β-cells in HOT-100% mice support this theory. Paradoxically, HOT can also increase HIF expression (16), and HIF can
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HOT – which way will it push?
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FIG. 1. Potential role of HOT in TID. In individuals at high risk of developing TID or in patients with TID, the balance is tilted toward the pro-diabetogenic process. HOT could push the balance either toward the pro-diabetogenic pathway or toward the anti-diabetogenic pathway. The outcome may be determined by multiple factors. NFκB, nuclear factor κB; RORγT, retinoid-related orphan receptor γ T; HO-1, hemeoxygenase 1.

have anti-inflammatory activities in TID (17). Therefore, the interplay between HOT and HIF in the TID settings remains to be determined and is a topic ripe for further investigation.

HOT is also known to increase levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) as well as reactive nitrogen species (RNS) (18). Both ROS and RNS participate in innumerable physiological and pathological processes (19). Of relevance, ROS are known to damage β-cells and participate in inflammatory processes (20), which could be counterproductive in TID patients receiving HOT. Therefore, the roles of ROS and RNS in HOT need to be thoroughly investigated. Furthermore, this study showed that HOT-100% suppressed the responses of T cells to a potent mitogenic stimulation (anti-CD3) and elevated the levels of IL-10. Induction of such generalized immune suppression by HOT could be a potential drawback in humans, particularly in children, because this might predispose to infections, diminish the ability to clear infections, and mount adequate protective immunity after immunizations.

In conclusion, this descriptive work by Faleo et al. (6) addresses the potential benefits of HOT in TID. Molecular oxygen is a fundamental component of various biochemical processes, and its use as a therapeutic agent could have diverse effects (21), both positive and negative. A detailed investigation is warranted to understand its mechanisms of actions as well as possible side effects (Fig. 1). In TID, HOT is ineffective once the autoimmunity has progressed to a prediabetic stage, making its utility in patients with existing TID questionable. When started early in the disease course, HOT reduced the incidence of TID only by ~20% in NOD mice. However, in humans, this could be a significant number. Therefore, given the established safety and limited side effects of HOT in humans, its translation to a pilot human clinical trial, either alone or with other immunomodulatory agents, at least in high-risk individuals (those with a first-degree relative with TID or who express susceptible HLA alleles, etc.), is a possibility.
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